

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 * * *

9 MATHEW LEE WILLIAMS,

Case No. 3:16-cv-00505-MMD-VPC

10 Petitioner,

ORDER

11 v.

12 BRIAN E. WILLIAMS, SR., *et al.*,

13 Respondents.

14 This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
15 § 2254, by Mathew Lee Williams, a Nevada prisoner.

16 On October 4, 2017, Williams filed a “Motion for Judicial Review and Action” (ECF
17 No. 49). In that motion — his second such motion (see ECF Nos. 44, 46) — Williams asks
18 the Court to review his amended habeas petition and take judicial action upon it.

19 Respondents are due to respond to Williams’ amended petition for writ of habeas
20 corpus by November 13, 2017. (See Order entered September 28, 2017 (ECF No. 48).)

21 Beyond that, the scheduling order in this case states:

22 It is further ordered that if respondents file an answer, petitioner will have
23 sixty (60) days from the date on which the answer is served on him to file
24 and serve a reply. If respondents file a motion to dismiss, petitioner shall
25 have 60 days from the date on which the motion is served on him to file and
serve a response to the motion to dismiss, and respondents shall,
thereafter, have thirty (30) days to file a reply in support of the motion.

26 (Order entered June 30, 2017 (ECF No. 38).) This schedule will control the further
27 proceedings in this case. The question of the merits of the claims in Williams’ amended
28 habeas petition is not yet before the Court.

1 It is therefore ordered that petitioner's Motion Requesting Judicial Review and
2 Action (ECF No. 49) is denied.

3 DATED THIS 13th day of October 2017.

4
5 

6 MIRANDA M. DU
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28